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#### Abstract

We prove most of B. van Geemen and D. van Straten's conjectures on the explicit description of Andrianov $L$-functions of Siegel cuspforms of degree 2 of weight 3 for the group $\Gamma(4,8)$, which are contained in [B. van Geemen, D. van Straten, The cuspform of weight 3 on $\Gamma_{2}(2,4,8)$, Math. Comp. 61 (204) (1993) 849-872]. These $L$-functions are related to the Galois representations on the Siegel modular threefold $\Gamma(4,8) \backslash \mathfrak{H}_{2}$ as determined by B. van Geemen and N. Nygaard [B. van Geemen, N.O. Nygaard, On the geometry and arithmetic of some Siegel modular threefolds, J. Number Theory 53 (1995) 45-87]. © 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction and main idea

As a next step of the Eichler-Shimura theory, B. van Geemen and N. Nygaard [3] compare $L$-functions related to Galois representations on Siegel modular threefolds $\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}_{2}$ and Andrianov $L$-functions of cuspforms in $S_{3}(\Gamma)$. Here, the $\Gamma$ 's are congruence subgroups larger than

$$
\Gamma(4,8)=\left\{\left.\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma(4) \right\rvert\, \operatorname{diag}(B) \equiv \operatorname{diag}(C) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 8)\right\} .
$$

They determined the Galois representations on $H_{l}^{3}$ of the modular threefolds, and give a conjecture relating these to Andrianov $L$-function of certain cuspforms.

[^0]Further, B. van Geemen and D. Straten [4] analyzed $S_{3}(\Gamma(4,8))$ and determined all the Hecke eigenforms belonging to $S_{3}(\Gamma(4,8))$ as follows. Using a theta embedding $\Theta: \Gamma(2,4,8) \backslash$ $\mathfrak{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{13}$, and regarding $M_{3}(\Gamma(2,4,8))$ as a quotient space of homogeneous polynomials of degree 6 with respect to the theta constants in $\Theta$, they showed that $S_{3}(\Gamma(4,8))$ is spanned by certain six-fold products of theta constants. Considering the action of $S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ on these products due to the transformation formula, they showed $S_{3}(\Gamma(4,8))$ is divided into direct sums of seven irreducible $S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$-modules. The seven modules contain the elements in Table 1.

Here, we set the Igusa theta constant associated to a characteristic $m=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}\right)$, with $m_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ by

$$
\theta_{m}(Z)=\sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{e}\left(\left(Z\left[\begin{array}{l}
m_{1}+(a / 2) \\
m_{2}+(b / 2)
\end{array}\right]+m_{3}\left(m_{1}+2 a\right) / 2+m_{4}\left(m_{2}+2 b\right) / 2\right) / 2\right)
$$

where we denote $\mathbf{e}(x)=\exp (2 \pi \sqrt{-1} x), x \in \mathbb{C}$, and $Z[v]={ }^{t} v Z v, Z \in \mathfrak{H}_{2}$.
For a six-fold product $\theta$, a character $\chi_{\theta}$ on $\Gamma(2)$ is determined by $\chi_{\theta}(\gamma)=\frac{\theta \mid \gamma}{\theta}$ and satisfies $\chi_{\theta}^{4}=1$. They showed that $\chi_{\theta}$ is characterized by a unique $\theta$. When $\chi_{\theta}^{2}=1$, the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{(2)}=\bigotimes_{p \neq 2} \mathcal{H}_{v}\left(G S p_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right), G S p_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)\right)$ outside of 2 acts on the one-dimensional space $\mathbb{C} \theta$, and thus $\theta$ is a Hecke eigenform. When $\chi_{\theta}$ is not real-valued, $\mathcal{H}_{(2)}$ acts on the two-dimensional space spanned by $\theta$ and $\theta^{\prime}$ which has the complex conjugate character of $\chi_{\theta}$, so an appropriate linear combination of $\theta$ and $\theta^{\prime}$ is a Hecke eigenform (cf. [4, Proposition 7.4]).

Computing some Hecke operators for the eigenforms obtained as above, they conjectured that their Andrianov $L$-functions are as in Table 2.

Here $\omega_{d}$ denotes the quadratic character associated to the extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) / \mathbb{Q}$ and $\otimes$ denotes the convolution product. The symbols $\theta_{\mu}, \rho_{i}, \psi_{1}$ denote some elliptic eigenforms belonging to the spaces (see Table 3).

Table 1

| Space | dim | Theta series |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $S_{3}(\Gamma(4))$ | 15 | $\theta_{1}=\theta_{(1,0,0,0)} \theta_{(0,1,0,0)} \theta_{(1,1,0,0)} \theta_{(1,0,0,1)} \theta_{(0,1,1,0)} \theta_{(1,1,1,1)}(Z)$ |
| $S_{3}(\Gamma(4,8))$ | 90 | $\theta_{2}=\theta_{(0,0,0,0)} \theta_{(0,0,0,0)} \theta_{(1,0,0,0)} \theta_{(0,1,0,0)} \theta_{(0,0,1,0)} \theta_{(0,0,0,1)}(Z)$ |
|  | 90 | $\theta_{3}=\theta_{(1,0,0,0)} \theta_{(0,1,0,0)} \theta_{(0,0,1,0)} \theta_{(0,0,1,0)} \theta_{(0,0,0,1)} \theta_{(0,0,0,1)}(Z)$ |
|  | 360 | $\theta_{4}=\theta_{(0,0,0,0)} \theta_{(1,0,0,0)} \theta_{(0,0,1,0)} \theta_{(0,0,0,1)} \theta_{(0,0,0,1)} \theta_{(1,0,0,1)}(Z)$ |
|  | 180 | $\theta_{5}=\theta_{(0,0,0,0)} \theta_{(0,0,1,0)} \theta_{(0,0,0,1)} \theta_{(0,0,1,1)} \theta_{(0,1,1,0)} \theta_{(1,1,1,1)}(Z)$ |
|  | 60 | $\theta_{6}=\theta_{(0,0,0,0)} \theta_{(0,0,0,0)} \theta_{(0,0,0,0)} \theta_{(1,0,0,0)} \theta_{(0,0,1,1)} \theta_{(0,1,1,0)}(Z)$ |
|  | 360 | $\theta_{7}=\theta_{(0,0,0,0)} \theta_{(0,0,0,0)} \theta_{(1,0,0,0)} \theta_{(0,1,0,0)} \theta_{(0,0,0,1)} \theta_{(0,0,1,1)}(Z)$ |

Table 2

| Label | Eigenform | Conjectured Andrianov $L$-function outside of 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{6}^{-}$ | $F_{1}=\theta_{1}$ | $\zeta(s-1) \zeta(s-2) L\left(s, \rho_{1}\right)$ |
| $R_{4}^{-}(0 ; 2)$ | $F_{2}=\theta_{2}-4 \theta_{2}^{\prime}$ | $\zeta(s-1) \zeta(s-2) L\left(s, \rho_{1}\right)$ |
| $R_{4}(1,1 ; 0)$ | $F_{3}=\theta_{3}+16 \theta_{3}^{\prime}$ | $\zeta(s-1) \zeta(s-2) L\left(s, \rho_{1} \otimes \omega_{-1}\right)$ |
| $R_{4}^{-}(1 ; 1)$ | $F_{4}=\theta_{4}+4 \theta_{4}^{\prime}$ | $L\left(s-1, \theta_{\mu} \otimes \omega_{-2}\right) L\left(s, \rho_{3} \otimes \omega_{-2}\right)$ |
| $R_{6}^{*}$ | $F_{5}=\theta_{5}$ | $L\left(s-1, \theta_{\mu}\right) L\left(s, \rho_{2}\right)$ |
| $R_{4}^{-}(2 ; 0)$ | $F_{6}=\theta_{6}$ | $L\left(s-1, \theta_{\mu} \otimes \omega_{-2}\right) L\left(s, \rho_{2} \otimes \omega_{-2}\right)$ |
| $R_{5}^{*}(1 ; 0)$ | $F_{7}=\theta_{7}$ | $L\left(s, \theta_{\mu} \otimes \psi_{1}\right)$ |

Table 3

| Elliptic cuspform | Space |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\theta_{\mu}$ | $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(32)\right)$ |
| $\psi_{1}$ | $S_{3}\left(\Gamma_{0}(32), \omega_{-1}\right)$ |
| $\rho_{1}$ | $S_{4}\left(\Gamma_{0}(8)\right)$ |
| $\rho_{2}=\theta_{\mu}{ }^{3}$ | $S_{4}\left(\Gamma_{0}(32)\right)$ |
| $\rho_{3}$ | $S_{4}\left(\Gamma_{0}(32)\right)$ |

In particular, $\theta_{\mu}$ is obtained by the Größen-character $\mu$ related to the elliptic curve $y^{2}=x^{3}-x$ with complex multiplication:

$$
\theta_{\mu}(z)=\sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \mu(\mathfrak{a}) \mathbf{e}(N(\mathfrak{a}) z), \quad z \in \mathfrak{H}
$$

where $\mathfrak{a}$ runs through all integral ideals of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ prime to 2 . For these conjectures, our main result is

Main Theorem. The conjectures for $F_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 6$, are true.
Our proof is using the Yoshida lift as follows. The conjectured $L\left(s, F_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 6$ are products of $L$-functions of elliptic modular forms, and the Yoshida lift [14] can provide a Siegel modular form having such a type of $L$-function. Indeed, in the $S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ module generated by $F_{i}$, due to the Yoshida lift, we construct an eigenform having the conjectured $L\left(s, F_{i}\right)$. At this moment, since $L(s, F)=L(s, F \mid \gamma)$ with $F \mid \gamma$ translated for $\gamma \in S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ (see Proposition 2.2 for a more rigorous discussion), we see that $L\left(s, F_{i}\right)$ is just the conjectured one.

Although we believe that the conjecture for $F_{7}$ is true, it seems to need more preparations. By base change, $\psi_{1}$ is lifted to an automorphic form on $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}))$. But, the theta lift from $S O(3,1) \simeq S L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ to $S p_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ as in [6] cannot provide a Siegel modular form of weight 3. Further, we are interested in the Galois representation related to $\psi_{1}$ and that related to the modular threefold $\operatorname{ker}\left(\chi_{\theta_{7}}\right) \backslash \mathfrak{H}_{2}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the definition of Andrianov $L$ function by Evdokimov [2] for adélic forms. In Section 3, we give a short review of the Yoshida lift. In Section 4, we prove the conjectures.

Notation. For a ring $A$ with norms, the group of units of $A$ is denoted by $A^{\times}$and by $A^{1}$ the group of elements of norm 1. We denote by $M_{k}^{n}(\Gamma, \chi)$ and $S_{k}^{n}(\Gamma, \chi)$ the space of Siegel modular forms and that of cuspforms of degree $n$, of weight $k$, with a character $\chi$ on a congruence subgroup $\Gamma \subset S p_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$.

## 2. Andrianov $L$-function for adélic forms

We review the definition of the Andrianov $L$-function by Evdokimov [2] for adélic forms, and see how the $L$-function changes w.r.t. translations of forms by $\gamma \in S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ (Proposition 2.2). Further, using this occasion, we recall the definition of the spinor $L$-function, and clarify the difference between Andrianov and spinor $L$-functions. These $L$-functions are likely to be regarded as the same thing, but they are different things, strictly. Indeed, the spinor $L$-function is invariant w.r.t. translations by elements of $S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

In [2] originally, the Andrianov $L$-function is defined for classical Siegel modular forms, using his Hecke operators. The spinor $L$-function is defined for adélic forms on $G S p_{2}(\mathbb{A})$ (or for their Whittaker models). We can extend a classical Siegel modular form $F$ to a form $F^{\natural}$ on $G S p_{2}(\mathbb{A})$, canonically. Then, the Andrianov $L$-function of $F$ coincides with the spinor $L$-function of $F^{\natural}$. However, when we do not extend $F$ canonically, there may be difference between the $L$ functions. It is caused by the difference of Hecke operators by which the $L$-functions are defined. The Hecke operators of the former act on forms globally, but those of the latter act locally.

Now, we treat the Andrianov $L$-function. Let $\Gamma(N)$ be the principal congruence subgroup of level $N$. For Dirichlet characters $\eta, \psi$ defined modulo $N$, let $M_{k}(N, \eta, \psi) \subset M_{k}(\Gamma(N))$ denote the space of all Siegel modular forms $F$ satisfying

$$
\left.F\right|_{k} \gamma(a, b)=\eta(a) \psi(b) F,
$$

for every $\gamma(a, b) \equiv \operatorname{diag}\left[a, a b, a^{-1},(a b)^{-1}\right](\bmod N)$ in $S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Here, for $g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in G S p_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $F$ we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F\right|_{k} g(z)=\operatorname{det}(c z+d)^{-k} F\left((a z+b)(c z+d)^{-1}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Every $F \in M_{k}(\Gamma(N))$ can be decomposed as $F=\sum_{\eta, \psi} F_{\eta, \psi}, F_{\eta, \psi} \in M_{k}(N, \eta, \psi)$. We set, for $t \in \mathbb{Q}$,

$$
\delta(t)=\operatorname{diag}[1,1, t, t], \quad \varepsilon(t)=\operatorname{diag}\left[1, t, t^{2}, t\right]
$$

Then, Evdokimov defined for a prime $p \nmid N$ Hecke operators on $M_{k}(N, \eta, \psi)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(1,1, p, p) F=T(\delta(p)) F & =\left.p^{k-3} \sum_{j} F\right|_{k} H_{j}, \\
T\left(1, p, p^{2}, p\right) F & =T(\varepsilon(p)) F=\left.p^{2 k-6} \sum_{j} F\right|_{k} L_{j} \\
T(p, p, p, p) F & =p^{2 k-6} \eta(p) F
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $H_{j}, L_{j}$ satisfy $\Gamma \delta(p) \Gamma=\bigsqcup_{j} \Gamma H_{j}$, and $\Gamma \varepsilon(p) \Gamma=\bigsqcup_{j} \Gamma L_{j}, H_{j} \equiv \delta(p)$, $L_{j} \equiv \varepsilon(p)(\bmod N)$ with $\Gamma=\Gamma(N)$. Of course, these definitions are independent from the choice of $H_{j}, L_{j}$. For an eigenform $F \in M_{k}(N, \eta, \psi)$ at $p$ with eigenvalues $\lambda(\delta(p)), \lambda(\varepsilon(p))$ for the above Hecke operators, Evdokimov defined the Andrianov $L$-function attached to $F$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{a e}(s, F)_{p}= & 1-\lambda(\delta(p)) p^{-s}+\left(p \lambda(\varepsilon(p))+p^{2 k-5}\left(p^{2}+1\right) \eta(p)\right) p^{-2 s} \\
& -\eta(p) \lambda(\delta(p)) p^{2 k-3-3 s}+\eta(p)^{2} p^{4 k-6-4 s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we recall the definition of the spinor $L$-function. For an automorphic form $f$ on $G S p_{2}(\mathbb{A})$ which is right $G S p_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$-invariant, the Hecke operators $T_{p}(\delta(p)), T_{p}(\varepsilon(p))$ are defined by

$$
T_{p}(\delta(p)) f(g)=\sum_{j} f\left(g\left(H_{j}\right)_{p}^{-1}\right), \quad T_{p}(\varepsilon(p)) f(g)=\sum_{j} f\left(g\left(L_{j}\right)_{p}^{-1}\right)
$$

with $\left(H_{j}\right)_{p},\left(L_{j}\right)_{p}$ being the images of $H_{j}$ respectively $L_{j}$ under the embedding $G S p_{2}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow$ $G S p_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$. Using the eigenvalues $\lambda^{\natural}(\delta(p))$ and $\lambda^{\natural}(\varepsilon(p))$, local spinor $L$-function of $f$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{s p}(s, f)_{p}= & 1-\lambda^{\natural}(\delta(p)) p^{-s}+\left(p \lambda^{\natural}(\varepsilon(p))+p\left(p^{2}+1\right) \eta(p)\right) p^{-2 s} \\
& -\eta(p) \lambda^{\natural}(\delta(p)) p^{3-3 s}+\eta(p)^{2} p^{6-4 s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For a classical $F \in M_{k}(N, \eta, \psi)$, we extend $F$ to a function $F^{\natural}$ on $G S p_{2}(\mathbb{A})$ as follows. By the strong approximation theorem for $S p_{2}(\mathbb{A})$, any element $g \in G S p_{2}(\mathbb{A})$ can be decomposed as

$$
g=\gamma g_{\infty} k t_{\infty} \times \prod_{p} \delta\left(t_{p}\right)
$$

Here $\gamma \in S p_{2}(\mathbb{Q}), g_{\infty} \in S p_{2}(\mathbb{R}), k \in \prod_{p} \Gamma(N)_{p}$, and $t_{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}, t_{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$. We set

$$
F^{\natural}(g)=F\left(g_{\infty}(\imath)\right) \operatorname{det}(c \imath+d)^{-k}, \quad g_{\infty}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right), \iota=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{-1} & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{-1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We call $F^{\natural}$ the 'canonical extension of $F$,'
Proposition 2.1. Let $F \in M_{k}(\Gamma(N), \eta, \psi)$ be a classical form on $\mathfrak{H}_{2}$ and $F^{\natural}$ the canonical extension of $F$ on $G S p_{2}(\mathbb{A})$. Suppose that $F$ is an eigenform at $p$. Then, we have

$$
L^{a e}(s, F)_{p}=L^{s p}\left(s-k+3, F^{\natural}\right)_{p} .
$$

Proof. It suffices to see $\lambda(\delta(p))=p^{k-3} \lambda^{\natural}(\delta(p))$ and $\lambda(\varepsilon(p))=p^{2 k-6} \lambda^{\natural}(\varepsilon(p))$. This is clear by observing that $H_{j} \delta(p)^{-1} \equiv L_{j} \varepsilon(p)^{-1} \equiv 1(\bmod N)$, and the way $F^{\natural}$ is defined.

We now consider the case where $F \in S_{3}(\Gamma(4,8))$ has a character $\chi$ on $\Gamma(2)$, for our proof of the conjectures.

Proposition 2.2. Suppose that $F \in S_{3}(\Gamma(4,8))$ is a Hecke eigenform with

$$
L^{a e}(s, F)_{p}=1-a_{p} p^{-s}+a_{p^{2}} p^{-2 s}-a_{p} p^{3-3 s}+p^{6-4 s}
$$

and has a character $\chi$ on $\Gamma$ (2). Then $F \mid \gamma$ is also a Hecke eigenform with

$$
L^{a e}(s, F \mid \gamma)=1-\xi(p) a_{p} p^{-s}+a_{p^{2}} p^{-2 s}-\xi(p) a_{p} p^{3-3 s}+p^{6-4 s},
$$

for a certain function $\xi$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\times}$defined modulo 8.
Proof. Put $\Gamma=\Gamma(8)$ and take an odd prime $p$. Then we compute the Hecke operator $T(\delta(p))$ for $\left.F\right|_{k} \gamma$ :

$$
T(\delta(p))\left(\left.F\right|_{k} \gamma\right)=\left.\sum_{j} F\right|_{k} \gamma H_{j}, \quad H_{j} \equiv H_{1}(\bmod 8), H_{1}=\delta(p)
$$

with $\Gamma \delta(p) \Gamma=\bigsqcup_{j} \Gamma H_{j}$. Instead of this computation for $F$, we consider that for $F^{\natural}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j} F^{\natural}\left(\gamma_{\infty} H_{j, \infty} g_{\infty}\right) & =\sum_{j} F^{\natural}\left(H_{j}^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \gamma_{\infty} H_{j, \infty} g_{\infty}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j} F^{\natural}\left(g_{\infty} H_{j, 2}^{-1} \gamma_{2}^{-1} H_{j, p}^{-1}\right), \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{\infty}$ is an element of $\operatorname{Sp}_{2}(\mathbb{A})$ whose finite components are all 1 and $\gamma_{v}, H_{j, v}$ denote the images by the embedding $G S p_{2}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow G S p_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{v}\right)$. Here we use the left $G S p_{2}(\mathbb{Q})$-invariance and right $\prod_{v \neq 2} G S p_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{v}\right)$-invariance of $F^{\natural}$. This computation is continued to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j} F^{\natural}\left(g_{\infty} H_{j, 2}^{-1} \gamma_{2}^{-1} H_{j, p}^{-1}\right) & =\sum_{j} F^{\natural}\left(g_{\infty} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \gamma_{2} H_{j, 2}^{-1} \gamma_{2}^{-1} H_{j, p}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j} F^{\natural}\left(g_{\infty} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \gamma_{2} H_{j, 2}^{-1} \gamma_{2}^{-1} H_{j, 2} H_{j, p}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j} \chi_{2}\left(\left[\gamma_{2}, \delta(p)_{2}^{-1}\right]\right) F^{\natural}\left(g_{\infty} \gamma_{2}^{-1} H_{j, p}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j} \lambda^{\natural}(\delta(p)) \chi_{2}\left(\left[\gamma_{2}, \delta(p)_{2}^{-1}\right]\right) F^{\natural}\left(\gamma_{\infty} g_{\infty}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $[a, b]=a b a^{-1} b^{-1}$ for $a, b \in G S p_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ and $\chi_{2}$ denotes the 2-component of the extended $\chi$, which is characterized by

$$
\chi_{2}(k)=\chi(\alpha)^{-1}
$$

for $k \in \Gamma(2)_{2}, \alpha \in \Gamma(2), \alpha \equiv k(\bmod 8)$. The computation for $T(\varepsilon(p))$ is also given by

$$
T(\varepsilon(p))(F \mid \gamma)=\chi_{2}\left(\left[\gamma_{2}, \varepsilon(p)_{2}^{-1}\right]\right) \lambda(\varepsilon(p))(F \mid \gamma)
$$

We observe that both of the maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\times} \ni t \rightarrow \chi_{2}\left(\left[\gamma_{2}, \delta(t)_{2}^{-1}\right]\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} \\
& \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\times} \ni t \rightarrow \chi_{2}\left(\left[\gamma_{2}, \varepsilon(t)_{2}^{-1}\right]\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}
\end{aligned}
$$

are defined modulo 8 , and that the latter is always 1 since

$$
\left[\varepsilon(p), S p_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\right] \subset \Gamma(4,8) \subset \operatorname{ker}\left(\chi_{2}\right)
$$

reminding that the commutator subgroup of $\Gamma(2)$ is $\Gamma(4,8)$. This proves the assertion.
Remark 2.3. Indeed, an example with a nontrivial $\xi$ is given in [3].

In contrast, for a general automorphic form $f$ on $G S p_{2}(\mathbb{A})$, the spinor $L$-function is stable under $S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$-translations:

$$
L^{s p}\left(s, f\left(\gamma_{\infty} g\right)\right)=L^{s p}(s, f(g))
$$

for every $g \in G S p_{2}(\mathbb{A})$ and $\gamma_{\infty} \in S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \subset S p_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. This is clear from the definition. We note that $(F \mid \gamma)^{\natural}(g)=F^{\natural}\left(\gamma_{\infty} g\right)$ does not necessarily hold.

## 3. Review of the Yoshida lift

The Yoshida lift is a theta lift from a pair of automorphic forms on a definite quaternion algebra $D_{\mathbb{Q}}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ to a Siegel modular form whose spinor $L$-function is the product of the $L$-functions of the pair. Jacquet-Langlands theory [7] associates cuspidal automorphic forms on $D_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$to elliptic cuspforms. For every cuspidal automorphic form on $D_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$, there exists an elliptic cuspform having the same $L$-function. So, we can construct a Siegel modular form whose $L$-function is a product of that of a pair of elliptic modular forms.

We start with a short review of the Yoshida lift. Let $D_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a definite quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ attached to $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ :

$$
D_{\mathbb{Q}}=\mathbb{Q}+\mathbb{Q} I+\mathbb{Q} J+\mathbb{Q} I J, \quad I^{2}=-a, J^{2}=-b, I J=-J I,
$$

with the canonical involution $*: a+b I+c J+d I J \rightarrow a-b I-c J-d I J$. We denote by $\mathrm{N}(x)=x \cdot x^{*}$ and $\operatorname{Tr}(x)=x+x^{*}$ the reduced norm and trace of $x \in D_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We put $W_{1}=\mathbb{R} I+$ $\mathbb{R} J+\mathbb{R} I J \subset D_{\infty}$. Considering the action $\tau$ of $D_{\infty}^{\times}$on $W_{1}$ such as $\tau(d) w=d^{-1} w d, d \in D_{\infty}^{\times}$, $w \in W_{1}$, we obtain a representation $\sigma$ of $D_{\infty}^{\times} / \mathbb{R}^{\times}$. We denote by $\sigma_{2 n}=\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(\sigma)$ the tensor $n$-tuple product representation on the space $W_{n}=\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(W_{1}\right)$.

Definition 3.1 (Automorphic form of type $\left(\sigma_{2 n}, R, \chi\right)$ ). Let $R$ be an order in $D_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\chi=\bigotimes_{p} \chi_{p}$ be a product of character $\chi_{p}$ on $R_{p}^{\times}\left(\chi_{p}\right.$ is trivial at almost all $p$ ). We define an automorphic form on $D_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$of type $\left(\sigma_{2 n}, R, \chi\right)$ to be a $W_{n}$-valued function $f$ on $D_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$which satisfies the following conditions (1)-(3):
(1) For any $\gamma \in D_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$and $x \in D_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}, f(\gamma x)=f(x)$.
(2) For any $h \in D_{\infty}^{\times}, f\left(x h_{v}\right)=\sigma_{2 n}(h) f(x)$.
(3) For any $k_{p} \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}, f\left(x k_{p}\right)=\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(k_{p}\right) f(x)$.

We denote by $\mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2 n}, R, \chi\right)$ the space of automorphic forms on $D_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$of type $\left(\sigma_{2 n}, R, \chi\right)$. If $\chi$ is trivial, we abbreviate it to $\mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2 n}, R\right)$.

Remark 3.2. See [7] for the general definition of automorphic forms. Only the above types of automorphic forms are needed for our use in the Yoshida lift.

We only describe the Yoshida lift from a pair of eigenforms $f_{1} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{0}, R, \chi\right)$ and $f_{2} \in$ $\mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, R, \chi\right)$ as follows. Associated to the pair, we take a certain $W_{1}$-valued Schwartz function (i.e., theta kernel or test function) $\Phi=\prod_{v} \Phi_{v}$ on $D_{\mathbb{A}}^{2}$ satisfying (i)-(iii):
(i) $\Phi_{\infty}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=P\left(x_{1}^{*} x_{2}\right) \exp \left(-2 \pi\left(\mathrm{~N}\left(x_{1}\right)+\mathrm{N}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right)$ for $x_{i} \in D_{\infty}$, where $P(x)=P(a+b I+$ $c J+d I J)=b I+c J+d I J$.
(ii) If $\chi_{p}$ on $R_{p}^{\times}$is trivial, $\Phi_{p}$ is the characteristic function of $R_{p}^{2}$.
(iii) If $\chi_{p}$ is nontrivial, $\Phi_{p}$ has the property such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{p}\left(k_{1}^{-1} x_{1} k_{2}, k_{1}^{-1} x_{2} k_{2}\right)=\chi_{p}\left(k_{1}^{-1} k_{2}\right) \Phi_{p}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \quad k_{i} \in R_{p}^{\times}, x_{j} \in D_{p} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by the Weil representation of $S p_{2}(\mathbb{A})$ in [14], we obtain a Siegel modular form on $S p_{2}(\mathbb{A})$. The classical form of the Yoshida lift $\Theta_{\Phi, f_{1} \times f_{2}}(Z)$ from $f_{1} \times f_{2}$ for a Schwartz function $\prod_{v \leqslant \infty} \Phi_{v}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{h}\left(n_{i} n_{j}\right)^{-1} \sum_{x_{1}, x_{2} \in D_{\mathbb{Q}}} \Phi_{0}\left(y_{i}^{-1} x_{1} y_{j}, y_{i}^{-1} x_{2} y_{j}\right) P_{j}\left(x_{1}^{*} x_{2}\right) f_{1}\left(y_{i}\right) \mathbf{e}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, Z\right] . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The meanings of the symbols are as follows. We decompose

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}=\bigsqcup_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant h} D_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times} y_{i} R_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left(y_{i}\right)_{\infty}=1$ and denote $n_{i}={ }^{\sharp}\left(D_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap y_{i} R_{\mathbb{A}}^{1} y_{i}^{-1}\right) . \Phi_{0}=\prod_{p<\infty} \Phi_{p} . P_{j}$ means

$$
P_{j}(a+b I+c J+d I J)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(f_{2}\left(y_{j}\right)(b I+c J+d I J)\right),
$$

where we remark that $P_{j}$ plays the role of the contribution of the $\Phi_{\infty} . \mathbf{e}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, Z\right]=$ $\mathbf{e}\left(\mathrm{N}\left(x_{1}\right) z_{11}+\operatorname{Tr}\left(x_{1}^{*} x_{2}\right) z_{12}+\mathrm{N}\left(x_{2}\right) z_{22}\right), Z=\left(z_{i j}\right) \in \mathfrak{H}_{2}$. Using this classical form, we can calculate the Fourier coefficients.

It is known that $\Theta_{\Phi, f_{1} \times f_{2}}$ is a cuspform of weight 3 and Hecke eigenform at almost all places. Its Andrianov $L$-function is described as follows. Suppose that $\chi_{p}$ is trivial and $R_{p}$ is isomorphic to $M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. By the computation in [9] which is a modification of Yoshida's original one, the Andrianov $L$-function of $\Phi_{f_{1} \times f_{2}}$ is given by

$$
L^{a e}\left(s, \Theta_{\Phi, f_{1} \times f_{2}}\right)_{p}=L\left(s-1, f_{1}\right)_{p} L\left(s, f_{2}\right)_{p}
$$

where the theta kernels are not fixed to be the characteristic functions of $R^{2}$. We note that, if the central character of $f_{1}$ is trivial, the same computation is used in [1] to describe the standard $L$-function as

$$
Z\left(s, \Theta_{\Phi, f_{1} \times f_{2}}\right)_{p}=\zeta(s)_{p} L\left(s-2, f_{1} \otimes f_{2}\right)_{p}
$$

## 4. Proofs

In order to prove the conjectures, we need to check two things.
(1) To show the existence of eigenforms having the conjectured Andrianov $L$-functions in the irreducible $S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ module generated by $F_{i}$.
(2) To check eigenvalues of the eigenforms at 3,5, and 7 (cf. Proposition 2.2).

For (1), we will construct eigenforms in $S_{3}(\Gamma(4,8))$ by the Yoshida lift and show the existence of such eigenforms in $S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot F_{i}$. For (2), we will consult the table of [4]. We first fix some notations. In the remainder of this paper, we consider the definite quaternion algebra

$$
D_{\mathbb{Q}}=\mathbb{Q}+\mathbb{Q} I+\mathbb{Q} J+\mathbb{Q} I J, \quad I^{2}=J^{2}=-1, I J=-J I
$$

which is split at every odd prime. We will use the orders

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{O} & =\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z} I+\mathbb{Z} J+\mathbb{Z}(1+I+J+I J) / 2 \\
\mathfrak{O}(l) & =\mathbb{Z}+\varpi^{l} \mathfrak{O}, \quad N(\varpi)=2, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z} \geqslant 0 \\
R & =\mathbb{Z}+2 \mathbb{Z} I+2 \mathbb{Z} J+2 \mathbb{Z} I J .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\mathfrak{O}_{p} \simeq \mathfrak{O}(l)_{p} \simeq R_{p} \simeq M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ at odd prime $p$ and $\mathfrak{O}(l)_{2}^{\times}$is a normal subgroup of $D_{2}^{\times}$. With respect to $\mathfrak{O}$ or $R$, we have decompositions of $D_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$as

$$
D_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}=D_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times} \mathfrak{V}_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}=D_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times} y_{1} R_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times} \sqcup D_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times} y_{2} R_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times},
$$

for $y_{1}=1$ and $\left(y_{2}\right)_{2}=I+J+I J,\left(y_{2}\right)_{v}=1, v \neq 2$. Here $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}=D_{\infty}^{\times} \times \prod_{p<\infty} \mathfrak{O}_{p}^{\times}$and so on.

### 4.1. Proof for $F_{2}$

Now, we start to prove the conjecture for $F_{2}$. We need first a pair of automorphic forms $f_{1}, f_{2}$ such that $L\left(s, f_{1}\right)=\zeta(s) \zeta(s-1), L\left(s, f_{2}\right)=L\left(s, \rho_{1}\right)$. We can construct them in $\mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{0}, R\right)$ and $\mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, R\right)$ as follows. By direct calculation, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{0}, R\right)=2, \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, R\right)=6
$$

Now define $f_{1} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{0}, R, 1\right)$ and $f_{2} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, R, 1\right)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)=f_{1}\left(y_{2}\right)=1 \\
& f_{2}\left(y_{1}\right)=2 b I-c J+2 d I J, \quad f_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)=-3 c J
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 4.1. The above $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are Hecke eigenforms with

$$
L\left(s, f_{1}\right)=\zeta(s) \zeta(s-1), \quad L\left(s, f_{2}\right)=L\left(s, \rho_{1}\right)
$$

up to the Euler factor at 2.
Proof. The assertion for $f_{1}$ is clear. We give the proof for $f_{2}$. Since $\mathfrak{O}(3) \subset R$, Lemma 4.2 yields $\theta_{f_{2}} \in S_{4}\left(\Gamma_{0}(16)\right)$ having the same $L$-function up to the Euler factor at 2 .

The unique cuspform $\rho_{1}(z) \in S_{4}\left(\Gamma_{0}(8)\right)$ yields two oldforms of level 16 (namely $\rho_{1}(z)$ and $\rho_{1}(2 z)$ ). They give the same eigenvalue (namely, -4 ) of the Hecke operator $T_{3}$, by Stein's table in [13]. The newform of $S_{4}\left(\Gamma_{0}(16)\right)$ has eigenvalue +4 for $T_{3}$. So $f_{2}$, corresponding to eigenvalue -4 , comes from an oldform.

Lemma 4.2. For every Hecke eigenform $f \in \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, \mathfrak{O}(l)\right)$, there exists a Hecke eigenform $\theta_{f} \in S_{4}\left(\Gamma_{0}\left(2^{l+1}\right)\right)$ having the same L-function, up to the Euler factor at 2 .

Proof. Let $V=\sum \mathbb{C} f_{i}$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, \mathfrak{O}(l)\right)$ spanned by Hecke eigenforms $f_{i}$ having the same $L$-function as $f$, outside of 2 . We see that $V$ is stable with respect to the right translation $\rho$ of $D_{2}^{\times}: \rho(g) f^{\prime}(x)=f^{\prime}(x g), f^{\prime} \in V$, since

$$
\rho(g) f^{\prime}(x k)=f^{\prime}(x k g)=f^{\prime}\left(x g g^{-1} k g\right)=\rho(g) f^{\prime}(x)
$$

for every $k \in \mathfrak{O}(l)_{2}^{\times}$and $g \in D_{2}^{\times}$(note that $\mathfrak{O}(l)_{2}^{\times}$is a normal subgroup of $\left.D_{2}^{\times}\right)$.
We take an irreducible component $\Omega$ taking values on $V_{\Omega} \subset V$. From a certain automorphic form in $V_{\Omega}$, we take a function $f_{\Omega}$, which is an automorphic form in the sense of [7, p. 330]. The right translation by $D_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$of $f_{\Omega}$ determines the irreducible admissible representation $\pi^{\prime}=$ $\Omega \times \bigotimes_{v \neq 2} \pi_{v}^{\prime}$ of $D_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$.

At $\infty$, the Weil representation in [7] associates $\sigma_{2}$ to a discrete series representation of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$. By [5, p. 142], the discrete series are in the space of right $O_{2}(\mathbb{R})$-finite functions on $G L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\phi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t_{1} & * \\
0 & t_{2}
\end{array}\right) g\right)=\mu_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \mu_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)\left|t_{1} / t_{2}\right|^{1 / 2} \phi(g)
$$

for the character $\mu_{1}(a)=|a|^{5 / 2}, \mu_{2}(a)=|a|^{1 / 2}, a \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$.
At $2, \Omega$ is associated to an irreducible admissible representation $\pi_{2}(\Omega)$ of $G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ by the Weil representation. We define a Schwartz function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}\left(D_{2}\right) \otimes V_{\Omega}$ by

$$
\phi(k):=\Omega(k) v, \quad k \in \mathfrak{O}_{2}^{\times},
$$

for a nonzero $v \in V_{\Omega}$, and zero if $k \notin \mathfrak{O}_{2}^{\times}$. Noting $\Omega \mid \mathfrak{O}(l)_{2}^{\times}$is trivial, we see $\phi$ is fixed by the action of $\Gamma_{0}\left(2^{l+1}\right)$. Thus, the conductor of $\pi_{2}(\Omega)$ divides $2^{l+1}$.

At the other places, by Theorem 4.4, $\pi_{p}^{\prime}$ are mapped to unramified $\pi_{p}$ of $G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) . \pi_{p}$ is related to a cuspform, so is infinite-dimensional, due to Deligne's theorem on Ramanujan's conjecture.

Summing up Theorem 14.4 of [7], Theorem 5.19 of [5] and the above discussions, we get the assertion.

For the case of $\mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{0}, \mathfrak{O}(l)\right)$, a similar result to the previous lemma is obtained in almost the same way. So, we omit the proof.

Lemma 4.3. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{0}, \mathfrak{O}(l)\right)$ is an eigenform such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D_{\mathbb{Q}}^{1} \backslash D_{\mathbb{A}}^{1}} f(h) d h=0 . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there exists a Hecke eigenform $\theta_{f} \in S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\left(2^{l+1}\right)\right)$ having the same L-function, up to the Euler factor at 2.

Theorem 4.4. [10] Suppose that a definite quaternion algebra $B_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ramifies at only one prime $q$ and at $\infty$, and that an order $R^{\prime} \subset B_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is isomorphic to $M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ at every $p \neq q$.

Then, the theta lifting from $\mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2 n}, R^{\prime}\right)$ to elliptic modular forms is not vanishing. If $n>0$, or if $n=0$ and $f$ satisfies (4.1), the image is in $S_{2 n+2}\left(\Gamma\left(q^{N}\right)\right)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 4.5. As mentioned after Theorem 14.4 of [7], we also think that every eigenform $f$ is mapped to an eigen cuspform, except the case of $f(x)=\psi \circ N(x), x \in D_{\mathbb{A}}$, for a certain character $\psi$ on $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$. But, we do not know references showing it.

Next, we will compute the Yoshida lift from $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$. We define a theta kernel $\Phi_{2} \in \mathcal{S}\left(D_{2}^{2}\right)$ satisfying the condition (3.1) by

$$
\Phi_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\mathbf{e}\left(\left(a_{1}+b_{2}\right) / 4\right) & \text { if } x_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1} I+c_{1} J+d_{1} I J \equiv 1 \\ \quad \text { and } x_{2}=a_{2}+b_{2} I+c_{2} J+d_{2} I J \equiv I(\bmod 2),\end{cases}
$$

We check the Fourier coefficient of $\Theta_{\Phi, f_{1} \times f_{2}}(Z)$ at $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ is not zero.
Theorem 4.6. The Andrianov L-function of $F_{2}$ is equal to $\zeta(s-1) \zeta(s-2) L\left(s, \rho_{1}\right)$, up to the Euler factor at 2. The conjecture for $F_{2}$ is true.
 the Weil representation at 2 in [14] and the definition of $\Phi_{2}$. We observe that $\mathrm{N}\left(x_{1}\right), \mathrm{N}\left(x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\times}$ whenever $\Phi_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq 0$, and from the action of $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & S \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ on $\Theta_{\Phi, f_{1} \times f_{2}}(Z)$ for $S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 / 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 / 2\end{array}\right)$, we find $\Theta \notin S_{3}(\Gamma(4))$.

Hence, one of the seven irreducible $S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ modules (excluded that of $F_{1} \in S_{3}(\Gamma(4))$ ) must contain a Hecke eigenform whose Andrianov $L$-function is equal to $\zeta(s-1) \zeta(s-2) L\left(s, \rho_{1}\right)$. Consulting the table of eigenvalues of $F_{i}$ in [4], we see $\Theta$ is not orthogonal to the $S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ module of $F_{2}$.

Thus, observing the eigenvalues at $3,5,7$ of $F_{2}$, from Proposition 2.2, we find the precise Andrianov $L$-function of $F_{2}$ is equal to the conjectured one.

### 4.2. Proof for $F_{3}$

We define $f_{2}^{(-1)} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, R\right)$ by

$$
f_{2}^{(-1)}\left(y_{1}\right)=-2 b I-2 c J+d I J, \quad f_{2}^{(-1)}\left(y_{2}\right)=3 d I J
$$

Similar to the proof of Proposition 4.1, we see $L\left(s, f_{2}^{(-1)}\right)=L\left(s, f_{2} \otimes \omega_{-1}\right)$, where $\omega_{l}$ denotes the quadratic character associated to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{l}) / \mathbb{Q}$.

We check $\Theta_{\Phi, f_{1} \times f_{2}^{(-1)}}$ has nonzero Fourier coefficient at $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, and thus get the next theorem analogous to Theorem 4.6.

Theorem 4.7. The Andrianov L-function of $F_{3}$ is, up to the Euler factor at 2, equal to $\zeta(s-1) \zeta(s-2) L\left(s, \rho_{1} \otimes \omega_{-1}\right)$. The conjecture for $F_{3}$ is true.

### 4.3. Proof for $F_{4}$

We define the character $\chi_{4}=\left(\chi_{4}\right)_{2} \times \prod_{v \neq 2} 1_{v}$ on $R_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$with

$$
\left(\chi_{4}\right)_{2}(1+2 a+2 b I+2 c J+2 d I J)=(-1)^{d}
$$

for $k=1+2 a+2 b I+2 c J+2 d I J \in R_{2}^{\times}$and calculate

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{0}, R, \chi_{4}\right)=2, \quad \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, R, \chi_{4}\right)=6
$$

We define $f_{1} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{0}, R, \chi_{4}\right)$ and $f_{2} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, R, \chi_{4}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)=1, \quad f_{1}\left(y_{2}\right)=0, \\
& f_{2}\left(y_{1}\right)=2 b I+c J, \quad f_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)=b I+2 d I J .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 4.8. The $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are Hecke eigenforms and

$$
L\left(s, f_{1}\right)=L\left(s, \theta_{\mu} \otimes \omega_{-2}\right), \quad L\left(s, f_{2}\right)=L\left(s, \rho_{3} \otimes \omega_{-2}\right),
$$

up to the Euler factor at 2.
Proof. We give only a proof for $f_{2}$, since that for $f_{1}$ is similar. Since $\left(\chi_{4}\right)_{2}$ is trivial on $\mathfrak{O}(5)^{\times}$, we have $\mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, R, \chi_{4}\right) \subset \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, \mathfrak{O}(5)\right)$. The same discussion as in the proof of Proposition 4.1 tells that the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence maps $\mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, R, \chi_{4}\right)\left(\subset \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, \mathfrak{O}(5)\right)\right)$ to $S_{4}\left(\Gamma_{0}(64)\right)$. We calculate the Brandt matrices (representing matrix of the Hecke algebra on $\mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, R, \chi_{4}\right)$ ) and obtain

| Space | Eigenvalues at 3 | Eigenvalues at 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, R, \chi_{4}\right)$ | $\{ \pm 8,0\}$ | $\{-22,10\}$ |

We see $f_{2}$ has eigenvalues 10 at 5 and 8 at 3 .
On the other hand, Stein's table tells that

| Space | Eigenvalues at 3 | Eigenvalues at 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbb{C} \rho_{3} \subset S_{4}\left(\Gamma_{0}(32)\right)$ | 8 | -10 |
| $S_{4}\left(\Gamma_{0}(32)\right)$ | $\{ \pm 8, \pm 4,0\}$ | $\{22,-10,2\}$ |
| $S_{4}\left(\Gamma_{0}(64)\right)$ | $\{ \pm 8, \pm 4,0\}$ | $\{ \pm 22, \pm 10, \pm 2\}$ |

Thus, by Proposition 3.64 of [12], we find that $\rho_{3} \otimes \omega_{-2}$ belongs to $S_{4}\left(\Gamma_{0}(64)\right)$. Stein's table tells that only $\rho_{3} \otimes \omega_{-2}$ has eigenvalue 8 at 3 and 10 at 5 .

Taking into account that $\mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, R, \chi_{4}\right)$ is spanned by eigenforms, we can easily conclude $f_{2}$ is an eigenform outside of 2 with $L$-function $L\left(s, \rho_{3} \otimes \omega_{-2}\right)$.

We define a theta kernel $\Phi_{2}$ associated to the pair of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ by

$$
\Phi_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\mathbf{e}\left(\left(a_{1}+d_{1}+b_{2}\right) / 4\right) & \text { if } x_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1} I+c_{1} J+d_{1} I J \equiv 1 \\ & \text { and } x_{2}=a_{2}+b_{2} I+c_{2} J+d_{2} I J \equiv I(\bmod 2), \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Then the Fourier coefficient of $\Theta_{\Phi, f_{1} \times f_{2}}(Z)$ at $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ is not zero, from which we obtain the next theorem analogous to Theorem 4.6.

Theorem 4.9. The Andrianov L-function of $F_{4}$ is, up to the Euler factor at 2, equal to $L(s-1$, $\left.\theta_{\mu} \otimes \omega_{-2}\right) L\left(s, \rho_{3} \otimes \omega_{-2}\right)$. The conjecture for $F_{4}$ is true.

### 4.4. Proofs for $F_{5}$ and $F_{6}$

Suppose that the conjectures for $F_{5}$ and $F_{6}$ are true. By Proposition 2.2, we notice that there exist eigenforms with the same Andrianov $L$-function in the different modules $S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot F_{5}$ and $S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot F_{6}$. It is not sufficient to construct eigenforms in $S_{3}(\Gamma(4,8))$ having the Andrianov $L$ functions, different form the previous cases. The eigenforms obtained by the Yoshida lift may be in the same $S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ module. So, after the constructions, we will see that they are belonging to different $S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ modules.

We will first prove the conjecture for $F_{5}$. Define a character $\chi_{5}=\left(\chi_{5}\right)_{2} \times \prod_{v \neq 2} 1_{v}$ on $R_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$ with

$$
\left(\chi_{5}\right)_{2}(1+2 a+2 b I+2 c J+2 d I J)=(-1)^{b+c}
$$

We define $f_{1} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{0}, R, \chi_{5}\right)$ and $f_{2} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, R, \chi_{5}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)=1, & f_{1}\left(y_{2}\right)=0 \\
f_{2}\left(y_{1}\right)=0, & f_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)=2 b I+2 c J-d I J
\end{array}
$$

The next proposition is analogous to Proposition 4.8.
Proposition 4.10. The above $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are Hecke eigenforms outside of 2 with

$$
L\left(s, f_{1}\right)=L\left(s, \theta_{\mu}\right), \quad L\left(s, f_{2}\right)=L\left(s, \theta_{\mu^{3}}\right)
$$

up to the Euler factor at 2.
Associated to the pair $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, we define

$$
\Phi_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\mathbf{e}\left(d_{2} / 4\right) & \text { if } x_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1} I+c_{1} J+d_{1} I J \equiv 1+J+I J \\ \quad \text { and } x_{2}=a_{2}+b_{2} I+c_{2} J+d_{2} I J \equiv I+J(\bmod 2) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

This theta kernel is the four-fold product of the Igusa theta constants (see Introduction and Main idea of [9])

$$
\theta_{(1,0,0,0)} \theta_{(0,1,0,0)} \theta_{(1,1,0,0)} \theta_{(1,0,0,1)}(Z)
$$

which is the complement of $F_{5}$ of ten-fold product of all even theta constants. Using Proposition 6.2 of [4] and Lemma 2.2 of [11], we see the ten-fold product belongs to $S_{5}(\Gamma(2))$. Hence the four-fold product has the same character $\chi_{F_{5}}$ on $\Gamma$ (2) (note that $\chi_{F_{5}}$ is $\{ \pm 1\}$-valued). Of course, $\Theta_{\Phi, f_{1} \times f_{2}}$ has the same character $\chi_{F_{5}}$. Thus, we conclude that $\Theta_{\Phi, f_{1} \times f_{2}}$ is in the $S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$-orbit of $F_{5}$, consulting the lengths of the orbits in Theorem 6.4 of [4] which is the classification of characters on $\Gamma(2)$. The Fourier coefficient of $\Theta_{\Phi, f_{1} \times f_{2}}(Z)$ at $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2\end{array}\right)$ is not zero. Hence, consulting eigenvalues at $3,5,7$, we have

Theorem 4.11. Up to the Euler factor at 2, the Andrianov L-function of $F_{5}$ is equal to $L(s-1$, $\left.\theta_{\mu}\right) L\left(s, \theta_{\mu^{3}}\right)$. The conjecture for $F_{5}$ is true.

We are going to prove the conjecture for $F_{6}$. Define a character $\chi_{6}=\left(\chi_{6}\right)_{2} \times \prod_{v \neq 2} 1_{v}$ on $R_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}$ with

$$
\left(\chi_{6}\right)_{2}(1+2 a+2 b I+2 c J+2 d I J)=(-1)^{c} .
$$

We define $f_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{0}, R, \chi_{6}\right)$ and $f_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\sigma_{2}, R, \chi_{6}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}^{\prime}\left(y_{1}\right)=0, & & f_{1}^{\prime}\left(y_{2}\right)=1, \\
f_{2}^{\prime}\left(y_{1}\right)(b I+c J+d I J)=0, & & f_{2}^{\prime}\left(y_{2}\right)(b I+c J+d I J)=2 b-c+2 d .
\end{aligned}
$$

The next proposition is analogous to Proposition 4.8.
Proposition 4.12. The above $f_{1}^{\prime}$ and $f_{2}^{\prime}$ are Hecke eigenforms outside of 2 and $L\left(s, f_{1}^{\prime}\right)=$ $L\left(s, \theta_{\mu} \otimes \omega_{-2}\right), L\left(s, f_{2}^{\prime}\right)=L\left(s, \theta_{\mu^{3}} \otimes \omega_{-2}\right)$, up to the Euler factor at 2 .

Associated to the pair $f_{1}^{\prime}$ and $f_{2}^{\prime}$, we define

$$
\Phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\mathbf{e}\left(\left(a_{1}+c_{1}+b_{2}\right) / 4\right) & \text { if } x_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1} I+c_{1} J+d_{1} I J \equiv 1 \\ 0 & \text { and } x_{2}=a_{2}+b_{2} I+c_{2} J+d_{2} I J \equiv I(\bmod 2), \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

The Fourier coefficient of $\Theta_{\Phi^{\prime}, f_{1}^{\prime} \times f_{2}^{\prime}}(Z)$ at $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ is not zero.
Theorem 4.13. Up to the Euler factor at 2, the Andrianov L-function of $F_{6}$ is equal to $L(s-1$, $\left.\theta_{\mu} \otimes \omega_{-2}\right) L\left(s, \theta_{\mu^{3}} \otimes \omega_{-2}\right)$. The conjecture for $F_{6}$ is true.

Proof. From the definitions we see, for $k=(1+2 I J)(1+2 J)^{-1} \in R_{2}^{1}$,

$$
\left(\chi_{5}\right)_{2}(k)=1 \neq-1=\left(\chi_{6}\right)_{2}(k)
$$

Thus, using Lemma 4.14, we know that $\Theta_{\Phi^{\prime}, f_{1}^{\prime} \times f_{2}^{\prime}}$ cannot belong to $S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot F_{5}$. Consulting the table in [4] for some Euler factors of Andrianov $L$-functions of $F_{i}$, we find that $\Theta_{\Phi^{\prime}, f_{1}^{\prime} \times f_{2}^{\prime}}$ belongs to $S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot F_{6}$ (and not to the orbit $\left.S p_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot F_{5}\right)$. Consulting the eigenvalues of $F_{6}$ at $3,5,7$, we determine the precise Andrianov $L$-function of $F_{6}$.

Lemma 4.14. Let $p$ be a bad prime and $\pi_{p}$ be the Weil representation of $S p_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$. The property (3.1) of the theta kernel $\Phi_{p}$ is stable for translations by $\operatorname{Sp}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ :

$$
\left(\pi_{p}(g) \Phi_{p}\right)\left(k_{1}^{-1} x_{1} k_{2}, k_{1}^{-1} x_{2} k_{2}\right)=\chi_{p}\left(k_{1}^{-1} k_{2}\right)\left(\pi_{p}(g) \Phi_{p}\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
$$

for every $g \in S p_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right), k_{i} \in R_{p}^{1}$ and $x_{j} \in D_{p}$.
Proof. Obvious from the fact that the action of $S p_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ commutes with that of $R_{p}^{1}$ on $\Phi_{p}$.
Remark 4.15. van Geemen and Nygaard [3] showed that the $L$-function of the Galois representation

$$
\rho: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow G L\left(H^{3}\left(Y^{\prime}, \mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)\right) \simeq G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)
$$

is equal to $L(s-1, \mu) L\left(s, \mu^{3}\right)$. Here $Y^{\prime}$ is a resolution of $\operatorname{ker}\left(\chi_{F_{5}}\right) \backslash \mathfrak{H}_{2}$. So, we have

$$
L(s, \rho)=L\left(s, F_{5}\right)
$$

### 4.5. Proof for $F_{1}$

In [3], the Andrianov $L$-function of $F_{1}$ was determined by using Oda lift [8] (Converse of Saito-Kurokawa lift).

Our method using Yoshida lift is also effective to $F_{1}$. We only write down the automorphic forms and theta kernel. We set $R^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}+2 \mathbb{Z} I+2 \mathbb{Z} J+\mathbb{Z}(I+J+I J)$ and have $D_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}=D^{\times}\left(R_{\mathbb{A}}^{\prime}\right)^{\times}$. Define the character $\chi$ on $\left(R_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\times}$by $\chi(k)=\omega_{-1}(N(k))$. The automorphic forms are

$$
f_{1}(1)=1, \quad f_{2}(1)=b I,
$$

and we set the theta kernel $\Phi_{2}$ by

$$
\Phi_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\mathbf{e}\left(\left(a_{1}+b_{2}\right) / 4\right) & \text { if } x_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1} I+c_{1} J+d_{1} I J \equiv 1+I, \\ & \text { and } x_{2}=a_{2}+b_{2} I+c_{2} J+d_{2} I J \equiv I+J(\bmod 2) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

One can show easily that $\Theta_{\Phi, f_{1} \times f_{2}}$ belongs to $S_{3}(\Gamma(4))$. Its Fourier coefficient at $\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2\end{array}\right)$ is not zero.

Remark 4.16. In the constructions of Yoshida lifts above, we use odd Igusa theta constants. For example, for the above $F_{1}$, we use

$$
\theta_{(1,0,1,0)} \theta_{(1,1,1,0)} \theta_{(0,1,0,0)} \theta_{(0,0,0,0)}(Z)
$$

In the case of $F_{5}$, we use

$$
\theta_{(0,0,0,1)} \theta_{(1,1,0,1)} \theta_{(0,1,0,1)} \theta_{(0,0,0,0)}(Z),
$$

which is obtained from the four-fold product of even theta constants

$$
\theta_{(1,0,0,0)} \theta_{(0,1,0,0)} \theta_{(1,1,0,0)} \theta_{(1,0,0,1)}(Z)
$$

by translating over $y_{2}=I+J+I J \in D_{2}^{\times}$. Using the polynomial $P$ described in Section 3, one verifies that they do not vanish. In contrast, if $\Phi_{2}$ is obtained from a four-fold product of even theta constants, then the theta kernel $\sum_{x_{i} \in D} P\left(x_{1}^{*} x_{2}\right) \times \Phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mathbf{e}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, Z\right]$ vanishes.
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