

Problem 1. [Diffusion of uniformly moving substance that decays with time]

Let $u(x, t)$ be the concentration of a substance in an infinitely long narrow channel through which water flows in positive x -direction with constant speed c (called the *advection speed*). Since the channel is narrow, we can neglect the variation of the concentration within the cross-section of the channel, and think of u as a function only of the coordinate x along the channel and the time t . Assume also that the substance is decaying exponentially with time (which is exactly the case if it is radioactive or because of chemical reactions). This can be used as a rough model for the propagation of 4-methylcyclohexanemethanol that leaked into the Elk River on January 9, 2014 and contaminated the water in Charleston, West Virginia. The concentration u of the substance is described by the partial differential equation

$$u_t = \alpha^2 u_{xx} - c u_x - \gamma u, \quad u = u(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0, \quad (1)$$

where α , c , and γ are positive constants. The term $\alpha^2 u_{xx}$ in the right-hand side of the PDE corresponds to the diffusion of the substance in the water (which occurs whether or not the water is moving), while γ gives the decay rate (if $T_{1/2}$ is the half-life of a radioactive substance, then you can easily show that $\gamma = \frac{\ln 2}{T_{1/2}}$).

- (a) Change the unknown function $u(x, t)$ to the function $v(x, t)$ related to $u(x, t)$ by

$$u(x, t) = e^{-\gamma t} v(x, t).$$

Derive the PDE satisfied by the new function $v(x, t)$.

- (b) Change the variables (x, t) in $v(x, t)$ to the new variables (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) related to the old ones by

$$\tilde{x} = x - ct, \quad \tilde{t} = t,$$

and, correspondingly, change the function $v(x, t)$ to a new function $\tilde{v}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})$ as usual. Derive the PDE satisfied by $\tilde{v}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})$.

- (c) If the function $\Phi(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})$ satisfies the PDE derived in part (b), write down the corresponding solution $u(x, t)$ of (1).

Problem 2. [A boundary value problem for ODEs]

Consider the boundary value problem (BVP)

$$\begin{aligned} X''(x) - \mu X(x) &= 0, & x \in [0, L], \\ X(0) &= 0, & X'(L) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where μ is a constant and $L = \text{const} > 0$.

- (a) Assume that $\mu > 0$ and set $\mu = \lambda^2$ for some $\lambda > 0$. Find the general solution of (2).
- (b) Impose the boundary conditions $X(0) = 0$ and $X'(L) = 0$ on the general solution of (2) found in part (a) and show that the BVP (2) does not have a non-trivial solution (i.e., a solution that is not identically zero on $[0, L]$).
- (c) Solve the BVP in the case $\mu = 0$ and show that it has no non-trivial solution.
- (d) Assume that $\mu < 0$ and set $\mu = -\lambda^2$ for some $\lambda > 0$. Find the general solution of (2).
- (e) Impose the boundary conditions $X(0) = 0$ and $X'(L) = 0$ on the general solution of (2) found in part (d). Find the condition on the constant λ (and, hence, on the constant μ) under which the BVP (2) has a non-trivial solution on $[0, L]$. Write down the corresponding solutions.

Problem 3. [Differentiation of an integral depending on a parameter]

The solution of the initial value problem for the wave equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, & x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= 0, \\ u_t(x, 0) &= h(x) \end{aligned}$$

(where c is a positive constant) is given by a particular case of the so-called *D'Alembert's formula*,

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} h(z) \, dz \quad (3)$$

(you do not need to prove this).

The following formula holds for differentiating an integral whose limits and integrand depend on some parameter α :

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \int_{\varphi(\alpha)}^{\psi(\alpha)} F(y, \alpha) \, dy = F(\psi(\alpha), \alpha) \psi'(\alpha) - F(\varphi(\alpha), \alpha) \varphi'(\alpha) + \int_{\varphi(\alpha)}^{\psi(\alpha)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha}(y, \alpha) \, dy \quad (4)$$

(again, there is no need to prove this).

- (a) Use the above formula for differentiating an integral depending on parameter to find $u_t(x, t)$ and $u_x(x, t)$ (where $u(x, t)$ is given by (3)).
- (b) Use the above formula for differentiating an integral depending on parameter to find $u_{tt}(x, t)$ and $u_{xx}(x, t)$ and check that these derivatives satisfy the wave equation.
- (c) Check that the expression for $u(x, t)$ given by the D'Alembert's formula satisfies the initial conditions $u(x, 0) = 0$ and $u_t(x, 0) = h(x)$.

Problem 4. [Compatibility between the PDE and the Neumann BC]

Consider a domain D in \mathbb{R}^3 , and let ∂D be its boundary, with unit normal \mathbf{n} pointing outwards. If $\mathbf{x} \in \partial D$ is a point on the boundary of D , let

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(\mathbf{x}) := D_{\mathbf{n}}u(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla u(\mathbf{x}) , \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial D ,$$

be the directional derivative of u in the direction of the outward unit normal vector $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$ at the point $\mathbf{x} \in \partial D$.

Consider the Neumann boundary value problem Poisson equation in D ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u &= f && \text{in } D , \\ \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right|_{\partial D} &= g && \text{on } \partial D . \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Here $\Delta u = \nabla \cdot \nabla u = \text{div grad } u$ is the Laplacian of the scalar function $u : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \partial D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given functions defined respectively in D and on ∂D .

- (a) Use the Divergence Theorem to show that

$$\iiint_D f \, dV = \iint_{\partial D} g \, dS \tag{6}$$

is a necessary condition for existence of a solution of the Neumann boundary value problem (5).

- (b) Recall that the concentration $U(\mathbf{x}, t)$ of a certain substance in a homogeneous and non-moving medium satisfies the *diffusion equation*,

$$U_t(\mathbf{x}, t) = \alpha^2 \Delta U(\mathbf{x}, t) + \Psi(\mathbf{x}, t) , \tag{7}$$

where $\alpha^2 > 0$ is a positive constant (depending on the properties of the medium). Here $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the volume density of the rate of production of the substance at the point \mathbf{x} and time t , i.e., the amount of substance produced in unit volume during one unit of time by some sources (if $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is negative, this means that the substance was removed by a chemical reaction or in some other way).

If the sources of the substance are time-independent (i.e., $\Psi = \Psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$) and the boundary conditions also do not depend on the time, then the concentration $U(\mathbf{x}, t)$ will tend to some time-independent limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$: $u(\mathbf{x}) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} U(\mathbf{x}, t)$. Since in this limit the time derivative in (7) vanishes, the time-independent concentration $u = u(\mathbf{x})$ will satisfy the Poisson equation

$$\Delta u(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{\alpha^2} \Psi(\mathbf{x})$$

(where we assume that the function $\Psi(\mathbf{x})$ is also time-independent) which gives a physical interpretation of the PDE in the boundary value problem (5).

Give a physical interpretation of the necessary condition (6) for the existence of a solution of the boundary value problem (5).

Hint: The meaning of the Neumann boundary condition is that the flux of the substance through the boundary ∂D is controlled, i.e., that we control the normal derivative $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{x})$ at each point \mathbf{x} of the boundary ∂D . Recall that the diffusion obeys Fick's law, $\mathbf{j} = -\alpha^2 \nabla u$, where α^2 is a positive constant characterizing the medium, and \mathbf{j} is the flux density of the flow of the substance. Think about the physical interpretation of the quantities $\iint_{\partial D} \mathbf{j} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$ and $\iiint_D \Psi \, dV$.

Problem 5. [Application of Bessel functions]

The ODE

$$w''(z) + \frac{1}{z} w'(z) + \left(1 - \frac{n^2}{z^2}\right) w(z) = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (8)$$

is called the *Bessel differential equation*. Two linearly independent solutions of (8) are the functions $J_n(z)$ and $Y_n(z)$ called respectively *Bessel functions* and *Neumann functions* (or Bessel functions of first, resp., second kind), i.e., the general solution of (8) can be written as

$$w(z) = C_1 J_n(z) + C_2 Y_n(z).$$

Consider the ODE

$$x f''(x) + f'(x) + f(x) = 0, \quad x \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

Change the independent variable from x to

$$s = 2\sqrt{x} \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad x = \frac{s^2}{4},$$

and introduce the new function $S(s)$, by

$$S(s) := f\left(\frac{s^2}{4}\right) \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad f(x) = S(2\sqrt{x}).$$

- (a) Use the Chain Rule to express $f'(x)$ in terms of $S'(s)$. Note that the prime has different meaning in $f'(x)$ and $S'(s)$: $f'(x) = \frac{df}{dx}(x)$, while $S'(s) = \frac{dS}{ds}(s)$.
- (b) Express $f''(x)$ in terms of the derivatives of $S(s)$.
- (c) Substitute $f(x)$, $f'(x)$ and $f''(x)$ in the ODE (9) to rewrite it as an ODE for $S(s)$.
- (d) Write the general solution of the ODE for $S(s)$ derived in part (c) as a linear combination $J_n(s)$ and $Y_n(s)$ for some n .
- (e) Write down the general solution of the ODE (9).