

ANSWERS TO EXAM 2

① (a) First we show (x_n) is bounded below by 2 and [16] bounded above by 10, using induction. ②

Since $x_1 = 2$, then $2 \leq x_1 \leq 10$. ②

Assume $2 \leq x_n \leq 10$. Then $1 \leq x_n - 1 \leq 9$, so $\sqrt{1} \leq \sqrt{x_n - 1} \leq \sqrt{9}$, so $1 \leq \sqrt{x_n - 1} \leq 3$, so $4 \leq \sqrt{x_n - 1} + 3 \leq 6$, ④
so $4 \leq x_{n+1} \leq 6$, so $2 \leq x_{n+1} \leq 10$. This proves the statement by induction.

Next we use induction to show (x_n) is increasing. ②

Since $x_1 = 2$ and $x_2 = \sqrt{2-1} + 3 = 4$, then $x_1 \leq x_2$. ②

Assume $x_n \leq x_{n+1}$. Then $x_n - 1 \leq x_{n+1} - 1$, and both $x_n - 1 \geq 0$ and $x_{n+1} - 1 \geq 0$ (since $x_n \geq 2$ and $x_{n+1} \geq 2$ as shown above),
so $\sqrt{x_n - 1} \leq \sqrt{x_{n+1} - 1}$, so $\sqrt{x_n - 1} + 3 \leq \sqrt{x_{n+1} - 1} + 3$, so $x_{n+1} \leq x_{n+2}$,
as desired. ④

② (b) ~~Since (x_{n+1}) is a subsequence of (x_n) , then both (x_n)~~

[9.] By the monotone convergence theorem, since (x_n) is increasing and bounded, then (x_n) converges to a limit, which we will call x . ③

Since (x_{n+1}) is a subsequence of (x_n) , then by a theorem from class, (x_{n+1}) converges to the same limit x .

Since $x_{n+1} = \sqrt{x_n - 1} + 3$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\lim (x_{n+1}) = \lim (\sqrt{x_n - 1} + 3)$, so $x = \lim (\sqrt{x_n - 1} + 3)$. ②

From Theorem 3.2.3, $\lim (x_n - 1) = x - 1$, and since $x_n \geq 2$ for all n , then ~~still by the same~~ $x_n - 1 \geq 0$ for all n , so by a theorem from the text, $\lim \sqrt{x_n - 1} = \sqrt{x - 1}$.

Then by Theorem 3.2.3 again, $\lim (\sqrt{x_n - 1} + 3) = \sqrt{x - 1} + 3$.

(cont'd)

So $x = \sqrt{x-1} + 3$. Therefore $x-3 = \sqrt{x-1}$, so

$$(x-3)^2 = x-1, \text{ so } x^2 - 6x + 9 = x-1, \text{ so } x^2 - 7x + 10 = 0,$$

$$\text{so } (x-2)(x-5) = 0, \text{ so } x=2 \text{ or } x=5. \quad (2)$$

Since $x_2 = 4$ and (x_n) is increasing, then $x_n \geq 4$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By a Theorem from class it follows that $x \geq 4$.

such that $n \geq 2$

$$\text{So } x = 5. \quad (2)$$

(2) We will prove the statement by showing that if $(1/x_n)$ does converge, then (x_n) cannot converge to 0. (2)

If $(1/x_n)$ converges, then it is bounded. So there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}$, with $M > 0$, such that $|1/x_n| \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (4) So $\frac{1}{M} \leq |x_n|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (2)

Since $M > 0$ then $\frac{1}{M} > 0$. Therefore, if we let $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{M}$, then assuming $\lim (x_n) = 0$, we would get that there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq K$, $|x_n - 0| < \frac{1}{M}$. (4)

But this contradicts the fact that $|x_n| \geq \frac{1}{M}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So (x_n) cannot converge to 0. (3)

(3) If (x_n) ~~has a subsequence~~ converges to some limit x , then all its subsequences must also converge to x . Now suppose (x_n) has one (5)

subsequence, all of whose terms are greater than or equal to 2. Then ~~if this~~ by a Theorem from class, since this subsequence converges to x , then $x \geq 2$. ⁽⁵⁾ Similarly if (x_n) has a subsequence whose terms are all less than or equal to 1, then $x \leq 1$. ⁽⁵⁾ We can't have both $x \geq 2$ and $x \leq 1$, so (x_n) cannot converge.

(4) As shown in class, ⁽²⁾ $\lim (1 + \frac{1}{n})^n = e$. Since $(1 + \frac{1}{3n})^{3n}$ is a subsequence of $(1 + \frac{1}{n})^n$, then ⁽⁵⁾ $\lim (1 + \frac{1}{3n})^{3n} = e$. Now $(1 + \frac{1}{3n})^n = [(1 + \frac{1}{3n})^{3n}]^{\frac{1}{3}}$, so by a Theorem from class, $\lim (1 + \frac{1}{3n})^n = (\lim [(1 + \frac{1}{3n})^{3n}]^{\frac{1}{3}}) = e^{\frac{1}{3}}$. ⁽³⁾

(5) (a) For all $n \geq 3$ we have $n^n \geq 3^n$, so $\frac{2^n}{n^n} \leq \frac{2^n}{3^n}$, ⁽¹⁰⁾ so $(\frac{2^n}{n^n}) \leq (\frac{2}{3})^n$. ⁽³⁾ We know that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{2}{3})^n$ converges, since it is a geometric series with $r = \frac{2}{3}$, and $|r| = \frac{2}{3}$ is less than 1. So also ⁽³⁾ $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (\frac{2}{3})^n$ converges. ⁽³⁾ Since $0 \leq \frac{2^n}{n^n} \leq (\frac{2}{3})^n$ for $n \geq 3$, it follows from the comparison test that $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{n^n}$ converges. Since $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{n^n}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{n^n}$

(3)

have partial sums which differ by a constant,

then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{n^n}$ must also converge. (1)

[10] (b) We know that if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$. (3)

Therefore, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1+\frac{1}{n}}{1+\frac{2}{n}} \right) = \frac{1+0}{1+0} = 1$, (4)

then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{n+2}$ cannot converge. (3)

(6) Let $L = \lim_{x \rightarrow 2} f(x)$. We will use the sequential criterion for limits to prove that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} g(x) = L$.

Suppose (x_n) is a sequence such that

(i) $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, (ii) $x_n \neq 2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 2$. (4)

Define $y_n = x_n - 2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

(i) $y_n \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, (ii) $y_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - 2) = 2 - 2 = 0$ (by Th 3.2.3). (4)

Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} f(x) = L$, it then follows from the sequential criterion for limits that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(y_n) = L$. (3)

But $g(x_n) = f(x_n - 2) = f(y_n)$. So $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(x_n) = L$.

Now it follows from the reverse direction (4) of the sequential criterion that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} g(x) = L$.

Note: It's also possible to do this problem using the ϵ - δ definition of limit. See next page \rightarrow

⑥. (alternate solution)

Let $L = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)$. We will use the ϵ - δ definition of limit to prove that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} g(x) = L$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

By assumption, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $0 < |x - 0| < \delta$ then $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$.

Now suppose $0 < |x - 2| < \delta$. Let $y = x - 2$.

Then $|y - 0| = |x - 2|$, so $0 < |y - 0| < \delta$. So, by the above, we know $|f(y) - L| < \epsilon$. Hence $|f(x - 2) - L| < \epsilon$. So $|g(x) - L| < \epsilon$.

We have shown there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $0 < |x - 2| < \delta$ then $|g(x) - L| < \epsilon$. So $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} g(x) = L$.
