

1. (15 points)

[9]

a) Construct a truth table for the compound statements  $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r$  and  $(\sim p) \vee q$ . Include columns for  $p$ ,  $q$ ,  $r$ ,  $p \rightarrow q$ ,  $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r$ , and  $(\sim p) \vee q$ .

$p$	$q$	$r$	$p \rightarrow q$	$(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r$	$(\sim p) \vee q$
T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	T
T	F	T	F	T	F
T	F	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	F	T

premises both true



3 points for each of the last three columns.

[6]

b) Using the truth table you constructed above, explain whether the following is a valid argument:

$$\begin{aligned} &(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r \\ &(\sim p) \vee q \\ \therefore &r \end{aligned}$$

3) The premises  $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r$  and  $(\sim p) \vee q$  are both true in lines 1, 5, and 7 of the truth table (marked with  $\star$ ). In all three of these lines, the conclusion  $r$  is also true. So the argument is valid.

2. (15 points) Use the table of logical equivalences provided with this test to prove that

$$\sim((\sim p) \vee q) \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p.$$

Supply a reason for each step of your proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \sim((\sim p) \vee q) \vee (p \wedge q) &\equiv (\sim \sim p) \wedge \sim q \vee (p \wedge q) && \textcircled{3} \text{ (De Morgan's laws)} \\ &\equiv (p \wedge \sim q) \vee (p \wedge q) && \textcircled{3} \text{ (Double negative law)} \\ &\equiv p \wedge (\sim q \vee q) && \textcircled{3} \text{ (Distributive law)} \\ &\equiv p \wedge t && \textcircled{3} \text{ (Negation law)} \\ &\equiv p && \textcircled{3} \text{ (Identity law).} \end{aligned}$$

3. (15 points) You are on the island of knights and knaves, where knights always make true statements and knaves always makes false statements. You meet three inhabitants, A, B, and C.

A says: Exactly one of B and C is a knave.

B says: A is a knight.

Which is C, a knight or a knave? Prove your answer.

② Consider two cases: either B is a knight or B is a knave.

⑥ { If B is a knight, then since he is telling the truth, A must be a knight. Therefore A's statement is true, and since B is not a knave, it follows that C is a knave.

⑥ { If B is a knave, then since he is lying, A must be a knave. Therefore A's statement is false, and since B is a knave, it follows that C must also be a knave.

① So in either case, C must be a knave.

4. (10 points) Let  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  and  $T = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . For each of the following statements, decide whether the statement is true or false, and give a complete justification of your answer.

[5] a)  $\exists x \in S \forall y \in T \ x = 2y$ . This is false. We can prove this by showing that the negation  $\forall x \in S \exists y \in T \ x \neq 2y$  is true. Let  $x \in S$  be given. Then  $x = 2y$  can be true for at most one element  $y$  of  $T$ , so  $x = 2y$  can't be true for all  $y \in T$ , since there is more than one  $y \in T$ . So  $x \neq 2y$  for some  $y \in T$ .

[5] b)  $\forall y \in T \exists x \in S \ x = 2y$ . This is true. Proof by cases: ~~Let  $y \in T$  be given.~~ Let  $y \in T$  be given. Either  $y = 1, y = 2, \text{ or } y = 3$ . If  $y = 1$ , then  $x = 2 \cdot y = 2 \in S$ . If  $y = 2$ , then  $x = 2y = 4 \in S$ . If  $y = 3$ , then  $x = 2y = 6 \in S$ . So in all cases,  $\exists x \in S$  such that  $x = 2y$ .

5. (10 points) Suppose  $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  and  $B = \{3, 4, 5\}$ . Consider the relation  $R \subset A \times B$  given by

$$R = \{(1, 4), (2, 4), (3, 5), (4, 3), (4, 4), (5, 4)\}$$

- a) Is  $R$  a function with domain  $A$ ? Explain your answer.

[6] No, the statement "If  $(x, y) \in R$  and  $(x, z) \in R$  then  $y = z$ " is not true<sup>③</sup>, because of the counterexample:  $(4, 3) \in R$  and  $(4, 4) \in R$  and  $3 \neq 4$ . So  $R$  does not have one of the properties needed to be a function.<sup>③</sup>

- b) Remove one element from  $R$  so as to make it a function with domain  $A$ .

[4] If we remove  $(4, 3)$  from  $R$  (removing  $(4, 4)$  will also work) then each element of  $A$  does get assigned by  $R$  to just one element of  $B$ , so  $R$  does define a function.

6. (15 points) Prove that if you take any three consecutive integers and multiply the largest by the smallest, the result is one less than a perfect square. (For example, if the three consecutive integers are 7, 8, and 9, then multiplying 7 by 9 gives 63, which is one less than a perfect square.)

Proof: Let any set of three consecutive integers be given, and let  $n$  denote the smallest of the three. <sup>(3)</sup> Then the three integers are  $n, n+1,$  and  $n+2$ ; and the largest of the three is  $n+2$ . <sup>(3)</sup> Multiplying the largest by the smallest gives  $n(n+2) = n^2 + 2n$ . <sup>(3)</sup> This result is one less than  $n^2 + 2n + 1$ , <sup>(3)</sup> which is a perfect square since  $n^2 + 2n + 1 = (n+1)^2$ . <sup>(3)</sup>

7. (10 points) Decide whether the following statement is true or false, and prove your answer: If  $n$  is a positive even number, then  $n^2 + 1$  is prime.

It is false, as is shown by the counterexample  $n = 8$ . We have that  $n = 8$  is even, and  $n^2 + 1 = 65 = 5 \cdot 13$ , so  $n^2 + 1$  is not prime.

(5) pts: giving an even number as a counterexample  
(5) pts: ~~also~~ showing that  $n^2 + 1$  is not prime for this number.

8. (10 points) Show that if  $p$  is a rational number and  $s$  is an irrational number, then  $s - p$  is irrational. (Hint: assume that  $s - p$  is rational and derive a contradiction.)

Proof: Suppose  $p$  is rational and  $s$  is irrational. <sup>(2)</sup> We have to show that  $s - p$  is irrational. To prove this, we assume that  $s - p$  is rational and get a contradiction. <sup>(2)</sup> If  $s - p$  is rational, then since (as proved in class) the sum of two rational numbers is rational, it follows that  $(s - p) + p$  is rational. But  $(s - p) + p = s$ , <sup>(2)</sup> so then  $s$  is rational, contradicting the assumption that  $s$  is irrational. <sup>(2)</sup>

### Theorem 2.1.1 Logical Equivalences

Given any statement variables  $p, q,$  and  $r,$  a tautology  $t$  and a contradiction  $c,$  the following logical equivalences hold.

- |                                |   |   |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| 1. Commutative laws:           | $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$                              | $p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$                                |
| 2. Associative laws:           | $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$        | $(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$              |
| 3. Distributive laws:          | $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$ | $p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$ |
| 4. Identity laws:              | $p \wedge t \equiv p$                                       | $p \vee c \equiv p$                                       |
| 5. Negation laws:              | $p \vee \sim p \equiv t$                                    | $p \wedge \sim p \equiv c$                                |
| 6. Double negative law:        | $\sim(\sim p) \equiv p$                                     |   |
| 7. Idempotent laws:            | $p \wedge p \equiv p$                                       | $p \vee p \equiv p$                                       |
| 8. Universal bound laws:       | $p \vee t \equiv t$   | $p \wedge c \equiv c$                                     |
| 9. De Morgan's laws:           | $\sim(p \wedge q) \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q$                | $\sim(p \vee q) \equiv \sim p \wedge \sim q$              |
| 10. Absorption laws:           | $p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$                              | $p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$                            |
| 11. Negations of $t$ and $c$ : | $\sim t \equiv c$   | $\sim c \equiv t$   |