

1. (15 points) Show that the statements  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$  and  $\neg p \vee \neg q \vee r$  are logically equivalent.

Method 1: We show the statements are equivalent by constructing a truth table:

P	Q	r	$p \wedge q$	$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg p \vee \neg q \vee r$
T	T	T	T	T	F	F	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	T	F	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	T	T	F	T
F	T	F	F	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	F	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	F	T	T	T	T

Since the columns for  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$  and  $\neg p \vee \neg q \vee r$  are identical, the two propositions are equivalent.

Method 2: The only way  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$  can be false is for  $p \wedge q$  to be true and  $r$  to be false. And this can only happen when  $p$  and  $q$  are both true and  $r$  is false, or when  $\neg p$ ,  $\neg q$ , and  $r$  are all false. But this is also the only way  $\neg p \vee \neg q \vee r$  can be false. Since the two propositions are false under exactly the same conditions, they are equivalent.

2. (15 points) You come across three people, A, B, C. You know that one of them is a knight, who always makes true statements; another is a knave, who always makes false statements, and the third is normal, meaning he sometimes makes true statements and sometimes makes false statements.

A says: I am normal.

B says: What A said is true.

C says: I am not normal.

Say which of the three is the knight, which is the knave, and which is normal. You should prove that your answer is correct.

Since A says he is normal, he cannot be a knight, because a knight would not lie and say he is normal. Therefore A must be either normal or a knave. (2)

If A is normal, then B is either a knight or a knave. But since B is telling the truth, he must be a knight. (3) So C has to be the knave. But then C is telling the truth, which contradicts him being a knave. This contradiction shows that A cannot be normal. (2)

Therefore A must be a knave. So A is lying, and hence B's statement is false. So B cannot be the knight, and (5) B must be normal. Then C has to be the knight (and indeed C is telling the truth when he says he is not normal).

3. (15 points) Consider the statement

$$\forall x \exists y (x = 2y + 5)$$

and assume that the domain of both the variables  $x$  and  $y$  consists of all real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ .

a) Express the statement in English.

[3] For every real number  $x$ , there exists a real number  $y$  such that  $x = 2y + 5$ .

b) Is the statement true or false? Justify your answer.

[3] True, because for a given  $x$  we can take  $y = \frac{x-5}{2}$ .

Now for parts c) and d), consider the statement

$$\exists y \forall x (x = 2y + 5)$$

and assume again that the domain consists of all real numbers.

c) Express the statement in English.

[3] There exists a real number  $y$  such that every real number  $x$  is equal to  $2y + 5$ .

d) Is the statement true or false? Justify your answer.

[3] False; for every real number  $y$  we can always find a real number  $x$  which does not equal  $2y + 5$ . For

e) Write the negation of the statement.

[3] example taking  $x = 2y + 6$  we have  $x \neq 2y + 5$ , because  $6 \neq 5$ .

$$\forall y \exists x (x \neq 2y + 5)$$

4. (10 points)

a) Suppose  $E$  and  $F$  are sets and  $E \subseteq F$ . Show that  $\bar{F} \subseteq \bar{E}$ .

[5] ① Suppose  $x \in \bar{F}$ . Then  $x \notin F$ . ① Since  $E \subseteq F$ , we know that  $x \in E \rightarrow x \in F$ . ① Therefore the contrapositive, is true

$x \notin F \rightarrow x \notin E$  is also true. Since  $x \notin F$ , it follows (modus ponens) that  $x \notin E$ . ① So  $x \in \bar{E}$ . We have proved  $x \in \bar{F} \rightarrow x \in \bar{E}$ , so  $\bar{F} \subseteq \bar{E}$ .

[5] b) Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are sets and  $A \subseteq B \cap C$ . Show that  $\bar{B} \cup \bar{C} \subseteq \bar{A}$ .

We are given that  $A \subseteq B \cap C$ ,

③ so from part a) we know that  $\overline{B \cap C} \subseteq \bar{A}$ .

By de Morgan's laws,  $\overline{B \cap C} = \bar{B} \cup \bar{C}$ . So  $\bar{B} \cup \bar{C} \subseteq \bar{A}$ . ②

5. (10 points) Give an example of a function  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  that is onto but not one-to-one. (Here  $\mathbb{Z}$  denotes the set of integers  $\{\dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  is the set  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .) Prove that your example is correct.

One example is given by  $f(n) = |n|$  (That is,  
 $f(n) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ -n & \text{if } n < 0 \end{cases}$ . Since  $f(-1) = 1 = f(1)$  and  $-1 \neq 1$ ,  
 then  $f$  is not one-to-one. Also, for every  $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$   
 we have  $f(n) = n$ , so the statement  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}$   
 $f(m) = n$   
 is true. So  $f$  is onto.

Of course, there are many other possible examples.

- ④ for a correct definition, ⑤ for a proof that the function is onto,  
 ⑥ for a proof that it is not one-to-one.

6. (10 points) Suppose  $f: A \rightarrow B$  and  $g: B \rightarrow C$  are functions. Prove that if  $f$  is one-to-one and  $g$  is one-to-one, then  $g \circ f: A \rightarrow C$  is one-to-one.

Suppose  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are elements of  $A$  and  
 ~~$g \circ f(a_1) = g \circ f(a_2)$~~   $g \circ f(a_1) = g \circ f(a_2)$ . This means that  
 $g(f(a_1)) = g(f(a_2))$ . Since  $g$  is one-to-one, it  
 follows from the last equation that  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ .  
 But then since  $f$  is one-to-one it follows that  
 $a_1 = a_2$ .

We have proved that for all  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  in  $A$ ,  
 $g \circ f(a_1) = g \circ f(a_2) \rightarrow a_1 = a_2$ . So  $g \circ f$  is one-to-one.

7. (15 points) Suppose  $a_n$  is a sequence that satisfies the recurrence relation  $a_n = 3a_{n-1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , and  $a_0 = 5$ . Find formulas for

a)  $a_n$

[6] We have  $a_0 = 5$ ,  $a_1 = 3 \cdot a_0 = \cancel{5} \cdot 3$   
 $a_2 = 3 \cdot a_1 = \cancel{3} \cdot \cancel{5} \cdot 3 \cdot 3$   
 $a_3 = 3 \cdot a_2 = \cancel{3} \cdot \cancel{3} \cdot \cancel{5} \cdot \cancel{3} \cdot \cancel{3} \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 3$ , etc.

So for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_n = 5 \cdot 3^n$ .

[9] b)  $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k$  (your answer should be a formula involving only two terms).

You can either remember the formula for the sum of a geometric series, or re-prove it as follows:

$$\text{Let } S = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k = 5 + 5 \cdot 3 + 5 \cdot 3^2 + \dots + 5 \cdot 3^{n-1} + 5 \cdot 3^n.$$

$$\text{Then } 3 \cdot S = \cancel{5} \cdot 3 + \cancel{5} \cdot 3^2 + \dots + \cancel{5} \cdot 3^n + 5 \cdot 3^{n+1},$$

$$\text{So } 3S - S = 5 \cdot 3^{n+1} - 5, \text{ so } 2S = 5 \cdot 3^{n+1} - 5, \text{ so}$$

8. (10 points)

a) Find a number  $a$  in  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  such that  $a \cdot 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ .

[5]

$$0 \cdot 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$$

$$1 \cdot 4 \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$$

$$2 \cdot 4 \equiv 8 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$$

⋮

so we can take  $a=2$

(this is actually the only solution in  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ )

$$S = \frac{5}{2} \cdot 3^{n+1} - \frac{5}{2}$$

b) Find a number  $b$  in  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  such that  $b^2 \equiv -5 \pmod{7}$ .

[5]

$$0^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$$

$$1^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$$

$$2^2 \equiv 4 \equiv -3 \pmod{7}$$

$$3^2 \equiv 9 \equiv 2 \equiv -5 \pmod{7} \quad \text{so we can take } b=3.$$

(actually there is also another solution:

$$b=4, \text{ since then } b^2 \equiv 16 \equiv 2 \equiv -5 \pmod{7})$$