

①  $F$ : not a distribution: if  $\varphi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n x^n$  near  $x=0$  (this series converges for  $|x| < \frac{1}{2}$ ), then  $\varphi^{(n)}(0) = n! 2^n$ , so the series "defining"  $F$  diverges.

Such a  $\varphi$  can be extended to a test function  $\varphi_0 \in C_c^\infty$ ,  $\varphi_0 = \varphi$  near  $x=0$ .

$G$ :  $G \in \mathcal{D}'$ : If  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset K \subset [-N, N]$ , then  $|\langle G, \varphi \rangle| \leq 2^N \sum_{n=0}^N \|\varphi^{(n)}\|_\infty$ .

$H$ : not a distribution: this is not linear in  $\varphi$ .

② Suppose that  $F_n \xrightarrow{\#} F_\infty$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$ . Then  $\langle F_n', \varphi \rangle = -\langle F_n, \varphi' \rangle \Rightarrow -\langle F, \varphi' \rangle = \langle F', \varphi \rangle$ , so  $F_n \rightarrow F'$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$  also.

Clearly  $\frac{1}{n} e^{inx} \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$ . By taking  $k+1$  derivatives, this implies that  $nk e^{inx} \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$ .

[This can be shown directly, using the fact that  $\hat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}$  if  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty$ .]

③ (a)  $\left| \frac{1}{h} (\varphi(x+h) - \varphi(x)) - \varphi'(x) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} (\varphi'(t) - \varphi'(x)) dt \right| \leq \|\varphi''\|_\infty h$   
 } say  $h > 0$   $| \dots | \leq \|\varphi''\|_\infty h$

(b) By the definition of  $T_h F, F'$ , we have that

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{h} (T_h F - F) - F', \varphi \right\rangle = \left\langle F, \frac{T_h \varphi - \varphi}{h} + \varphi' \right\rangle$$

By part (a), applied to  $\varphi, \varphi', \varphi'', \dots$ , we obtain that for any  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$\left\| \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left( \frac{T_h \varphi - \varphi}{h} + \varphi' \right) \right\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0, \text{ and since}$$

$$|\langle F, \varphi \rangle| \leq C \sum_{n=0}^N \|\varphi^{(n)}\|_\infty, \text{ it follows that } \langle F, \frac{T_h \varphi - \varphi}{h} + \varphi' \rangle \rightarrow 0, \text{ as desired.}$$

④ Recall that  $\mathcal{T}_Y = \{Y \cap U : U \in \mathcal{T}_X\}$ . Also, observe that  $\{Y \cap B : B \in \mathcal{B}_X\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $Y$ , and  $\mathcal{T}_Y \subset \{--\}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{B}_Y \subset \{--\}$ .

Conversely, let  $\mathcal{E} = \{E \in \mathcal{B}_X : Y \cap E \in \mathcal{B}_Y\}$ . This is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ .

Indeed, if  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $Y \cap E^c = Y \setminus (Y \cap E) \in \mathcal{B}_Y$ , so  $E^c \in \mathcal{E}$ . Similarly, if  $E_j \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $Y \cap \cup E_j = \cup (Y \cap E_j) \in \mathcal{B}_Y$ , so  $\cup E_j \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{T}_X \subset \mathcal{E}$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}_X$ , and this establishes  $\{--\} \subset \mathcal{B}_Y$ .