

(1) (a) ✓

(b) It's clear that  $f$  is continuous at all  $x \in X_0$  (why?).

Since  $X \setminus \text{supp } f_0$  is a neighborhood of  $\infty$  and  $f=0$  here, we also have continuity at  $\infty$ .

(c) Claim:  $\mu_0(E) = \mu(E)$  ( $E \in B_{X_0}$  <sup>(why?)</sup>  $\cup$   $E \in B_X$ )

$I_0(f_0) = I(f) = \int_X f d\mu = \int_{X_0} f d\mu$ , so the claim will follow if we can

show that  $\mu_0 = \mu|_{X_0}$  is a Radon measure. Outer regularity: let  $E \in B_{X_0}$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $(\mu = R \text{ measure on } X!)$   $\mu(\tilde{U}) \leq \mu(E) + \epsilon$  for some open  $(\text{in } X)$   $\tilde{U} \supset E$ . let  $U = \tilde{U} \setminus \{\infty\} = \text{open in } X_0$ . Then still  $U \supset E$ ,  $\mu(U) \leq \mu(E) + \epsilon$ . Inner regularity on open sets is similar (slightly easier).

(d) By (c), if we could extend, then the representing measure  $\mu_0$  of  $I_0 = I|_{C_c(X_0)}$  is finite (why?). In general, this need not hold; for example,  $X_0 = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $I_0(f_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_0(x) dx$ .

(e) For  $f_0 \in C_0(X_0)$ , we define  $f \in C(X)$  as in part (b). Conversely, if  $f \in C(X)$ , then there is a unique  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  s.t.  $(f-c)|_{X_0} \in C_0(X_0)$ ; we have to take  $c = f(\infty)$ . In particular, if  $f \in C(X)$  came from  $f_0 \in C_0(X_0)$ , by extending, then  $c=0$ .

Now if  $I$  extends  $I_0$ , then  $I(f) = I(f-c) + I(c) = I_0((f-c)_0) + c I(1) \equiv I_0((f-c)_0) + \alpha c$   $\{\alpha = I(1) \geq 0\}$

Conversely, this formula defines an extension  $I$  of  $I_0$  for any  $\alpha \geq 0$

Then  $I_0(f_0) \equiv I(f) = \int_X f d\mu \equiv \int_{X_0} f d\mu$ , so again  $\mu_0 = \mu|_{X_0}$ .  $\{C_0(X_0) \cup c=0 \text{ for } f\}$

As before, this also shows that  $\mu_0(X_0) < \infty$  for any  $\mu_0$  representing an  $I_0$ .

So we can obtain  $\mu$  from  $\mu_0$  as follows:  $I(f) = I_0((f-f(\infty))_0) + \alpha f(\infty) = \int_{X_0} (f-f(\infty)) d\mu_0 + \alpha f(\infty) = \int_{X_0} f d\mu_0 + f(\infty)(\alpha - \mu_0(X_0))$

This equals  $\int_X f d\mu$  for  $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E \cap X_0) + \alpha \delta_{\infty}(E) \equiv \mu_0(E \cap X_0) + \beta \delta_{\infty}(E)$ , and here  $\beta = \alpha - \mu_0(X_0) \geq 0$  because  $\alpha = I(1) \geq \mu_0(X_0) = \mu_0(X_0)$

7.1.3:  $X$  is compact, so  $\mu(X) < \infty$ . If  $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$  for some  $x \neq \infty$ , then  $x \notin \text{supp } \mu$ , since  $\{x\}$  is open. There are at most countably many  $x \neq \infty$  with  $\mu(\{x\}) > 0$  (otherwise  $\mu(X) = \infty$ ).

7.1.4: (a)  $f$  is bounded, so  $f^{-1}([a, \infty)) = f^{-1}([a, M])$  is a closed subset of the compact set  $\text{supp } f$ , so is compact. It is a  $G_\delta$  because  $f^{-1}([a, \infty)) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{-1}((a - \frac{1}{n}, \infty))$ .  
 $\underbrace{\quad}_{\text{open (f cont.)}}$

(b) By hypothesis,  $K = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} U_n$ . Use Urysohn's Lemma to find  $x_n \in f_n \prec U_n$ , and let  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} f_n$ . Since  $0 \leq f_n \leq 1$ , this converges uniformly, so  $f$  is continuous. We may also assume that all  $U_n$  are contained in a compact set  $L$  (since  $X = \text{LCH}$ ; see Prop. 4.31). Then  $f_n = 0$  on  $L^c$ , so  $f \in C_c(X)$ . Clearly  $0 \leq f \leq 1$ , and  $f = 1$  on  $K$  since  $f_n = 1$  on  $K$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . If  $x \notin K$ , then  $x \notin U_n$  for some  $n$ , so  $f_n(x) = 0$  and  $f(x) \leq 1 - 2^{-n} < 1$ . Thus  $K = f^{-1}(\{1\})$ , as requested.

(c) Recall  $B^0 := \sigma\text{-alg. generated by } f^{-1}([a, \infty)), a > 0, f \in C_c(X)$ . Write  $\mathcal{G} := \sigma\text{-alg. generated by the compact } G_j\text{'s}$ . We want to show that  $B^0 = \mathcal{G}$ : "C" follows from (a), and "S" follows from (b) (recall also that  $f^{-1}(\{1\}) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{-1}([1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1 + \frac{1}{n}])$  is in  $B^0$ .  
 $\underbrace{\quad}_{=[1 - \frac{1}{n}, \infty) \setminus [1 + \frac{1}{n}, \infty)}$

7.1.5: (a) let  $U_1, U_2, \dots$  be a basis of  $\mathcal{T}$  (= every open  $V \subset X$  is a union of  $U_i$ 's). For each  $x \notin K$ , we can find a neighborhood  $V_x$  s.t.  $\overline{V_x} \cap K = \emptyset$  (Hd property; see Prop. 4.30 for the extra claim that the closure of  $V_x$  can be taken disjoint from  $K$ ). Now pick an  $n = n(x)$  with  $U_n \subset V_x$  (so  $\overline{U_n} \subset V_x$  and thus  $\overline{U_n} \cap K = \emptyset$  also). Then  $K = \bigcap_{\substack{n = n(x) \\ \text{for some } x \notin K}} \overline{U_n}^c$  (why?).

(b) In part (a), I did not use the compactness of  $K$ ; I can also do this for a closed set  $F$ , and we can insist that the  $\overline{U_n}$  are compact (by LC). So if  $F$  is closed, then  $F = \bigcap_{\text{some } n} \overline{U_n}^c \in B^0$ , by (a), since  $\overline{U_n} \in B^0$ .  
 $\underbrace{\quad}_{\text{"cpt. } \rightarrow \text{cpt. } G_j}$

So  $B^0 \supset B$ , and  $B^0 \subset B$  is trivial.