

## Homework # 3: 5463: Real Analysis 2

1. Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ , and let  $(Y, \mathcal{N})$  be another space with a  $\sigma$ -algebra (“measurable space”). Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a measurable function.

(a) Prove that

$$\nu(E) = \mu(F^{-1}(E)) \quad (E \in \mathcal{N})$$

defines a new measure on the range  $Y$  of  $F$  (the *distribution* of  $F$ ). Show also that if  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $F = |f|$ , so  $Y = [0, \infty)$ , then  $\nu$  essentially agrees with the measure  $-\lambda_f$  from Section 6.4 (why only “essentially”?).

(b) Even if no  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $Y$  is given and  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is an arbitrary map, show that we can still run the same construction, as follows: Let

$$\mathcal{N} = \{E \subset Y : F^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}\}.$$

Prove that this defines a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $Y$ , and (of course)  $F$  becomes  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ -measurable.

Probably the situation in (a) is preferable; consider for example the function  $F = \chi_E$  with  $E \notin \mathcal{M}$ . What  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{N}$  on  $Y = \{0, 1\}$  is obtained in this case?

2. Exercises 34, 36, 38 from Sections 6.3, 6.4

*Comments:* (i) Exercise 34: No tools from Section 6.3 are needed to do this; Hölder’s inequality should suffice. As the first step, try to deduce that the quantities in question are bounded (if  $p \leq 2$ ; the case  $p > 2$  is easier). Can you then improve this argument to obtain the full claim?

(ii) Exercises 36, 38: Proposition 6.24 should be useful here. In Exercise 36, what do the assumptions  $\mu(\{x : f(x) \neq 0\}) < \infty$  and  $f \in L^\infty$  tell you about the function  $\lambda_f(\alpha)$ ?